IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

MRI SOFTWARE, LLC,		CASE NO. 1:12-cv-01082-CAB
Plain	tiff,	JUDGE Christopher A. Boyko
V.)	
LYNX SYSTEMS,	INC.,	
Defe	ndant.)	
)	
<u>J(</u>	DINT REPORT OF PARTII	ES' PLANNING MEETING
1. Pursi	uant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) ar	nd Local Rule 16.3(b), a meeting was held on
June 19, 2012, and v	vas attended by:	
Dani	el McMullen and Georgia Yar	nchar, counsel for Plaintiff MRI Software, LLC
John Inc	McLandrich and Matthew Ca	vanagh counsel for Defendant Lynx Systems,
2. The p	parties:	
have exchange prior order;	ged the pre-discovery disclosu	ares required by Rule 26(a)(1) and the Court's
X_ will exchange	e such disclosures by June 29	, 2012.
• MRI	has requested that each party	include with its initial disclosures copies of the
documents currently	in its possession, custody or	control, that it will use to support its claims or
defenses, including	specifically the documents in	Lynx's possession that support its allegations
that the 2002 Agree	nent between the parties was	modified or extended, or that the relationship

between MRI and Lynx continued after the termination of the 2002 Agreement.

• Lynx does not believe it will be able to gather and produce all of the docum	ents it	
may use to support its claims or defenses by June 29, 2012, and therefore proposes that it		
describe "by category and location" those documents in accordance with Rule 26(a)(1)(A).		
have not been required to make initial disclosures.		
3. The parties recommend the following track:		
ExpeditedX Standard Complex		
Administrative Mass Tort		
4. Pursuant to Local Rule 5.1(c) all documents must be electronically filed abs	ent a	
showing of good cause.		
5. MRI believes the case may be suitable for ADR after discovery. Lynx believes	ves	
the case is suitable for mediation.		
6. The parties do/ <u>X</u> do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United State	S	
Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c); however, MRI is in favor of submitting		
discovery disputes to the Magistrate Judge.		
7. Recommended Discovery Plan:		
(a) Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nat	ure	
and extent of discovery.		
The parties will conduct written discovery on all matters relating to liability and damages,	<u>1S</u>	
well as take depositions and expert discovery. In view of the number of claims and		
counterclaims in issue, the parties agree that 35 interrogatories may be served per side.		
(b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date: January 15, 2013.		
(c) Plaintiff's and Counterclaim Plaintiff's expert reports due date: Man	ch 1,	
2013		

(d) Defendant's and Counterclaim Defendant's expert reports due date: April 15, 2013

- (e) Expert discovery cut-off date: June 1, 2013
- 8. Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional parties without leave of court: MRI proposes September 30, 2012. Lynx proposes July 31, 2012.
 - 9. Recommended dispositive motion date: July 15, 2013.
- 10. Recommended date for a Status Hearing/Settlement Conference: October 15,2012.
- 11. Other matters for the attention of the Court: MRI's Motion for Preliminary
 Injunction and Lynx's Motion for Expedited Briefing Schedule and Briefing Schedule are before
 the Court. The parties have discussed the proposed schedule for discovery and completion of
 briefing as to MRI's Preliminary Injunction Motion. Lynx's position is as set forth in its Motion
 for Expedited Discovery and Briefing Schedule. MRI requests that if Lynx is provided the relief
 requested therein -- namely, that Lynx be permitted an extension of the deadline to file its brief
 in opposition to MRI's Preliminary Injunction Motion until 14 days after deposing MRI's
 declarants --- that MRI likewise be permitted to file its reply brief in support of its Motion for
 Preliminary Injunction 14 days after taking limited discovery of Lynx, relevant to the issues
 raised in MRI's Motion for Preliminary Injunction that are disputed by Lynx.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Georgia K.E. Yanchar

Daniel J. McMullen (Ohio Bar No. 0034380) Georgia K.E. Yanchar (0071458) CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP 1405 East Sixth Street Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 622-8233 / (216) 241-0816 Fax gyanchar@calfee.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, MRI Software, LLC

s/ Matthew J. Cavanagh

David T. Movius (OH 0070132)
Matthew J. Cavanagh (OH 0079522)
MCDONALD HOPKINS LLC
600 Superior Avenue, East, Ste. 2100
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
t 216.348.5400 | f 216.348.5474
dmovius@mcdonaldhopkins.com
mcavanagh@mcdonaldhopkins.com

and

John T. McLandrich (OH 0021494)
MAZANEC, RASKIN & RYDER CO., L.P.A.
100 Franklin's Row
34305 Solon Road
Cleveland, Ohio 44139
t 440.248.7906 | f 440.248.8861
jmclandrich@mrrlaw.com

Counsel for Lynx Systems, Inc.